

The effects of thoracic core conditioning exercises with stretch pole and thoracic mobility exercises on pulmonary function, chest expansion and quality of life in young older adults-a comparative study

¹Dr.Parth Prajapati (PT)

Assistant Professor,
Parul institute of Physiotherapy and Research,
Parul University, Vadodara.

²Dr. Madhuri Joshi (PT)

Professor.

Pioneer Physiotherapy College, Vadodara. Emailid- parthprajapati911@gmail.com Received: 21st Sep.2024 Revised: 15th Feb. 25 Accepted: 17th Jan. 25

ABSTRACT

Introduction: Young old groups consists of the populations between 65 and 75 years of age. Aim of the study was compare the effects of thoracic core conditioning exercises with stretch pole and thoracic mobility exercises on PEFR, chest expansion at axilla and xiphoid level and quality of life using WHOQOL-BREF scale.

Material and Method: Forty two participants who satisfied the inclusion criteria were recruited for intervention and divided in two groups: Group-A: thoracic core conditioning exercises with stretch pole and Group-B: thoracic mobility exercises. The exercise session consisted of 4 days in week for 4 weeks. Outcome measures were taken pre and post treatment.

Result: Intra group analysis by Wilcoxon test in both groups shows statistically significant improvement on PEFR, Chest expansion and Quality of life (p value≤0.005). While inter group analysis by Mann-Whitney test showed statistically significant difference in PEFR, chest expansion. (p value<0.005).

Discussion and conclusion: Both exercises protocol are equally effective in improve quality of life whereas the participants who received thoracic core conditioning exercises with stretch pole shows better result in terms of PEFR and chest expansion.

Key words: Older adults, PEFR, Chest expansion, WHOQOL-BREF, stretch pole.

INTRODUCTION

"The term "elderly" refers to individuals who are 65 years of age or older." (WHO) Three groups have been classified as follows:1) Young-old: This group includes individuals aged 65 to 75 years.2) The middle-old age group includes individuals aged between 75 and 85 years.3) Old-old: This group comprises individuals aged above 85 years.

The aging process impacts various organs and tissues, leading to a gradual decline in their functions. Older adults with comparable levels of chronic airflow obstruction often face more significant exercise limitations than their younger counterparts. This disparity can be attributed to age-related declines in lung function, diminished cardiac capacity, reduced

muscle strength and endurance, sensory deficits, impaired coordination, and increased reliance on medications. Numerous studies suggest that both static and dynamic lung function measurements tend to decline with advancing age. $^{4-6}$ This decline may result from a loss of lung elastic recoil, diminished alveolar support, increased stiffness of the rib cage, weakened respiratory muscles, and reduced efficiency in pulmonary gas exchange associated with aging. The stiffness of the rib tends increase cage withage, likely due to several agerelatedfactors,including:i)decalcificationofther ibs,ii)calcificationofthe rib cartilage, changes in the rib-vertebral joints, alterations in chest shape, and v) narrowing of



the intervertebral disc spaces. Aging-related physiological changes in pulmonary function include a reduction in vital capacity, a decline in peak expiratory flow, an increase in residual volume, a decrease in inspiratory reserve volume, and lower arterial oxygen saturation levels. Many older adults experience a decline in thoracic mobility, which refers to the movement capability of the chest wall, rib cage, and thoracic spine. This restriction in thoracic mobility can limit functional mobility and adversely impact pulmonary function, including respiration.

Thoracic core conditioning or core instability strength training involves exercises that focus on abdominal and thorax muscles and maintain posture. These exercises aim to enhance abdominal and spinal muscle strength, spinal balance performance. function, and According to Kritika et al. (2014) study analysis revealed that participants engaged in one week of thoracic core conditioning exercises, with or without the use of a stretch pole, experienced significant shortterm improvements in chest expansion, perceived intensity of breathlessness, and functional performance. Notably, the group that performed thoracic core conditioning exercises with the stretch pole showed a greater percentage of improvement. Chest mobility exercises are a crucial technique in conventional chest physiotherapy, aimed at enhancing chest wall mobility and improving ventilation. Numerous studies support the effectiveness of these exercises as intervention to boost both chest wall mobility and pulmonary function. 15-16

It is being supported by enough evidences that human ageing has impact on lung functions which may reduced quality of life. In past literatures only few studies has been conducted on how to improve chest excursion and pulmonary function in healthy older adults. Limited literature shows beneficial effects of core conditioning exercises with stretch pole over simple core conditioning exercises also some studies found the promising results of thoracic mobility exercises on pulmonary functions and quality of life.

But in past no study has been conducted on which therapy, thoracic core conditioning using stretch pole or thoracic mobility exercises is more beneficial in terms of pulmonary functions and quality of life. Considering this as a gap in literature need arises to conduct a study to compare the effects of thoracic core conditioning exercises with stretch pole and thoracic mobility exercises on pulmonary function, chest expansion and quality of life on young older adult.

OBJECTIVES

- To determine the effects of thoracic core conditioning exercises with stretch pole on pulmonary function (PEFR), chest expansion and quality of life (WHOQOL-BREF) in young older adults.
- To determine the effects of thoracic mobility exercises on pulmonary function (PEFR), chest expansion and quality of life (WHOQOL-BREF) in young older adults.
- To compare the effects of thoracic core conditioning exercises with stretch pole and thoracic mobility exercises on pulmonary function (PEFR), chest expansion and quality of life (WHOQOL-BREF) in young older adults.

REVIEW OF LITRETURE

Yokoyama et al. (2011) conducted a study on the effect of the core conditioning exercises using the stretch pole on thoracic expansion difference in healthy middle –aged and elderly persons, 14 healthy middle aged and elderly females participated in study. Participants were randomly allocated to core conditioning with the stretch pole (SP group) or core conditioning without it (control [C] group). The exercises were regularly performed twice a day for one week. Chest Expansion at axillary and 10th rib level taken as Outcome measurement. Results shows post intervention value of core conditioning with stretch pole group(SP group) were higher than the Core conditioning without stretch pole (C group) at both axillary and 10th rib levels. These result indicate that CC using stretch pole improves thoracic mobility.18

Ekstrum et al. (2009) conducted a study on the effects of a thoracic mobility and respiratory exercise program on pulmonary function and functional capacity in older adult in this study 37 volunteer participated in twice daily home



exercise program for 6 weeks Pulmonary function measure for FEV1, FVC, PEF, Physical Performance test(PPT), Quality of life test SF36 taken as Outcome measurement. The study concluded that after doing 6 weeks of thoracic mobility and respiratory exercises older adults demonstrated an increase in CWE and physical performance. ²⁸

MATERIAL AND METHEDOLOGY

- Study design: Experimental study
- Sampling design: Convenient sampling method
- Study population: Young older adults
- Study setting: Various old age homes in Vadodara
- Study duration: 1year(2022-2023)
- Sample size: The sample size was calculated by using G-Power software version 3.1.9.4.]Calculated sample size is 42(21 each group)

SELECTION CRITERIA: Participants were selected on basis of following criteria:

INCLUSION CRITERIA:

- 1. Age: 65-75 age group
- 2. Both gender
- 3. Chest expansion = At axilla level ≤ 1.5 cm At xiphoid level ≤ 2 cm
- 4. Ability to communicate & follow command

EXCLUSION CRITERIA:

- 1. Current smokers
- 2. Previous history of any thoracic surgeries
- 3. History of any musculoskeletal deficit which impact on exercise protocol and outcome measures like adhesive causalities, severe osteoporosis, etc.
- 4. History of neurological pathology which impact on exercises protocol and outcome measures like stroke, Parkinson disease, ataxia, etc.
- History of cardiovascular disease which impact on exercise protocol and outcome measures like unstable angina, recent mi, decompensate heart failure, etc.
- History of any respiratory disease which impact on exercise protocol and outcome measures like chronic bronchitis, asthma, etc.
- 7. Any pathology and deformity related to spine like disc protrusion, spondylolisthesis, kyphosis, scoliosis, etc.

- 8. BMI \geq 30 kg/m2
- 9. Uncontrolled hypertension and diabetes Mellitus
- 10. Pain while exercising
- 11.All contraindications of pulmonary function test
- 12.Not willing to participate

MATERIALS USED:

- 1. Stretch pole (Cylinder-shaped tube, diameter-15 cm, length-78 cm)
- Incentive Spiro meter (3 ball Spiro meter, OU-MED)
- Peak expiratory flow meter (Cipla breatheo meter)
- 4. Non elastic measure tape
- 5. Scale (WHOQOL-BREF)
- 6. Pen and Paper
- 7. Sterilium
- 8. Cotton
- 9. Towel
- 10.Disposable mouthpiece
- 11.Nose clip
- 12. Assessment form
- 13.Consent form

OUTCOME MEASURES:

- 1. Peak Expiratory flow (PEFR) rate Participant was in relaxed sitting position. Therapist would reset the meter by sliding the marker all the way to zero on the scale. The participant was instructed to take a full deep breath. The mouthpiece was then placed in the participant's mouth and therapist instructed to breath out as fast as possible and as hard as possible in single breath. The marker was slide outward on the numbered scale, indicated the peak expiratory flow rate for that attempt. The procedure was repeated for two more times with the rest of 1 min between the attempts and the best reading out of three attempts were used for data analysis.¹⁷
- **2.** Chest expansion $[ICC= 0.95-0.97]^{18}$

Participants were instructed to wear thin, loose fitting shirts to allow full mobility, they were asked to stand comfortably with hands at their sides. The same position was used for both pretest and posttest analyses. Each participant was given verbal instructions and demonstration prior to testing. Measurements were taken at two thoracic levels: the axillary level for the upper thorax and the 10th rib



level for the lower thorax. A flat tape was initially placed around the participant's axillary level. With the arms down, then the participant was asked to inhale as deeply as possible (Inspiration-maximum) while the measuring tape was drawn taut, then the thoracic circumference was measured. The tape was then released and the participant was asked to exhale as much as possible (Expiration-maximum) then the circumference was measured again. The same process was repeated for the 10th rib level. The thoracic extension difference was calculated by deducting Expiration-maximum from Inspiration-maximum. All measurements were performed three times and the average value was used for further analyses. Measurement was conducted at prior to and following the intervention period.

3. WHOOOL- BREF [ICC=0.78-0.82]¹⁹

Quality of life was evaluated using the WHOQOL-BREF scale, which has been tested and validated. This questionnaire includes four domains: physical health, psychological wellbeing, social relationships, and environment. with a total of 26 questions. Each domain is rated using five different Likert-style response scales: "very poor to very good" (evaluation), dissatisfied very satisfied" to (evaluation), "none to extremely" (intensity), "none to complete" (capacity), and "never to always" (frequency). Each domain consists of questions with scores ranging from one to five. Following WHO guidelines, raw scores for each domain were calculated by summing the individual item values, which were then converted into a score ranging from 0 to 100, with 100 being the highest and 0 the lowest.

PROCEDURE:

After taking approval from institutional ethical committee study conducted. Participants who fulfilled the inclusion criteria were selected from the population. Participants were explained about the purpose of the study. Written consent was obtained from the participants before starting the study. The demographic in form of (weight, height, BMI, etc.) were collected from all the participants.

Participants were divided into 2 groups as per convenient sampling method.

Group -A = Thoracic Core conditioning exercises with stretch pole

Group - B = Thoracic mobility Exercises

Both the groups were given treatment for one session for four day per week for four week. Outcome Measures were taken on first day before starting the treatment and on last day after complete treatment.

GROUP-A: Thoracic Core Conditioning Exercises With Stretch Pole Protocol

Following collection of baseline measures, participants were instructed the thoracic core conditioning exercises with stretch pole protocol:

The Stretch Pole is a cylinder-shaped tube, made of materials similar to a special Styrofoam with a length of 78 cm and diameter of 15 cm.

Typical exercises given to participants using the Stretch Pole consist of 3 preliminary motions followed by the Basic Seven exercises.

The Preliminary motions consist of,

- 1. Maintenance of shoulder abduction
- 2. Maintenance of external rotation of hip joint
- 3. Maintenance of unilateral shoulder abduction and contra lateral external rotation of hip joint.

The main motions consist of,

- 1. Floor polishing motion
- 2. Scapular adduction and abduction
- 3. Shoulder abduction and abduction
- 4. Internal and external rotation of hips
- 5. Slight knee extension-flexion
- 6. Swaying
- 7. Abdominal breathing

Participants in the Group A were positioned supine on the stretch pole and performed the above mentioned Basic Seven including preparatory motions.

The participants were asked to perform the exercises four days in week for four week.



Group – B: Thoracic mobility Exercises

Participants were instructed the thoracic mobility exercises protocol with three exercises:

(A) Side-lying (B) Supine, and (C) Doorway/corner stretch.

A. side lying:

- 1) Lie on your side with towel roll or bolster placed under your rib cage and bring your arm over your head(or as far as you can) 10 times.
- 2) Bring your arm over your head and take a large, slow breath. Hold for 3 seconds. Blow your air out and bring your arm back to your side. Do this 10 times.
- 3) Take 5 long, slow breaths into your incentive Spiro meter with a 30 seconds break between each breath.
- 4) Repeat on other side.

B. Supine:

- 1) Lie on your back with a small towel roll along your spine and take a slow, deep breaths using the incentive Spiro meter. (5 times).
- 2) Raise your arms up over your head as far as you can and hold in this position for 2 minutes practicing your deep breaths.

C. Doorway/corner stretch: Stand facing a doorway or corner with arms up at a 90 degree angle. Lean forward until you feel a stretch. Hold this stretch for 30 seconds and repeat 3 times.

Statistical Methods: Data was entered in excel sheet and analysis was done using SPSS software 20.0.1.1 and Microsoft excel 2007. Prior to the statistical test data was screened for normal distribution by Shapiro-Wilk test. Data was not normally distributed for PEFR, Chest expansion at Axilla level and Xiphoid level, and WHOQOL-BREF Scale. So, Non parametric tests were applied for PEFR, Chest Expansion and WHOQOL-BREF Scale within group and between group analysis. Data was analyzed at 5% level of significance with confidence interval (CI) at 95%. Within group analysis was done by Wilcoxon test and between group analysis was done by Mann-Whitney test.

RESULT

A total of 42 participants were included in the study, with 21 participants assigned to the thoracic core conditioning exercises with stretch pole group (Group A) and 21 participants in the thoracic mobility exercises group (Group B). Baseline data (Table 1) revealed that the average age of Group A was 70 (±4.09), while the mean age of Group B was 70.23 (±3.59). Additionally, 61% of participants in Group A were male, compared to 48% in Group B.

Table 1: Baseline data of participants in both groups

	GROUP-A	GROUP-B	_
CATEGORIES	MEAN±SD	MEAN±SD	p VALUE
Age (Years)	70±4.09	70.23±3.59	0.84
HEIGHT(Cm)	163.9±7.27	162.52±7.69	0.533
WEIGHT(Kg)	59.76±6.33	63.47±9.51	0.144
BMI(Kg/M ²)	22.26±2.08	23.97±2.73	0.206

The Wilcoxon test was utilized for intra group comparison of pre-treatment and post-treatment mean values of PEFR and Chest Expansion at axilla and xiphoid levels, as well as all domains of the WHOQOL-BREF scale. The results in (Table 2) revealed significant differences in all parameters (p < 0.05) in Group A.



Table.2: Intra group comparison of pefr and chest expansion and whoqol-bref domains in group A

Parameters	Pre-Treatment	Post-Treatment	Z	P
Parameters	MEAN±SD	MEAN ±SD	Value	Value
Pefr (L/Min)	314.09±56.79	336.66±60.71	-4.028	0.000
Axilla Level (Cm)	1.138±0.224	1.704±0.44	-3.860	0.000
Xiphoid level (Cm)	1.6±0.412	2.157±0.441	-3.754	0.000
Physical Domain	56.38±10.39	62.38±9.35	-2.956	0.003
Psychological				
Domain	61.71±9.73	68.52±8.65	-3.084	0.002
Social Domain	80.33±8.91	82.8±8.55	-2.555	0.011
Environmental				
Domain	72.52±7.2	79.19±7.96	-3.095	0.002

Similarly, the Wilcoxon test was used for intra group comparison of pre-treatment and post-treatment mean values of PEFR and Chest Expansion at axilla and xiphoid levels, and all domains of the WHOQOL-BREF scale. The results in (Table 3) showed significant differences in all parameters (p < 0.05) except for the Physical domain of the WHOQOL-BREF (p > 0.05).

Table.3: Intra group comparison of pefr, chest expansion and whoqol-bref domains in group b.

Parameters	Pre-Treatment	Post-Treatment	Z	P
	MEAN ± SD	MEAN±SD	Value	Value
Pefr (L/Min)	301.71±48.63	311.52±45.79	-3.199	0.001
Axilla Level(Cm)	1.05±0.27	1.23±0.31	-2.948	0.003
Xiphoid level(Cm)	1.37±0.33	1.68±0.4	-3.210	0.001
Physical Domain	57±9.7	59.38±8.37	-1.802	0.072
Psychological				
Domain	59.04±13.12	67.38±10.27	-3.115	0.002
Social Domain	75.28±12.45	78.85±10.57	-2.041	0.041
Environmental				
Domain	71.9±12.46	75.14±11.12	-2.549	0.011

For intergroup comparison of PEFR, Chest Expansion, and all domains of the WHOQOL-BREF between Group A and Group B, the Mann-Whitney test was employed. Results in (Table 4) demonstrated significant differences in PEFR and chest expansion (p < 0.05), with greater improvements observed in the Thoracic Core Conditioning exercises with Stretch Pole group (Group A) compared to the Thoracic Mobility exercises group (Group B). However, no significant difference was found in any of the four domains of the WHOQOL-BREF scale (p > 0.05).



Table.4: Inter group comparison of pefr, chest expansion and whogol-bref domains

Parameters	Group-A	Group-B	Z	P
	MEAN±SD	MEAN±SD	Value	Value
Pefr(L/Min)	22.57±17.75	9.8±9.27	-2.498	0.012
Chest expansion axilla Level	0.566±0.359	0.185±0.226	-3.523	0.000
Xiphoid level	0.557±0.355	0.309±0.325	-2.311	0.021
Physical Domain	5.47±6.15	2.952±5.472	-1.619	0.105
Psychological Domain	6.8±7.87	14.907±17.731	-1.739	0.082
Social Domain	2.47±3.64	3.571±7.586	-0.6	0.548
Environmental Domain	6.666±7.241	3.238±4.57	-1.546	0.122

DISCUSSION

This study was designed to compare the effects of thoracic core conditioning exercises with stretch pole and thoracic mobility exercises on pulmonary function (PEFR), chest expansion and quality of life using WHOQOL BREF scale in young older adults. Young older adults (age: 65-75) were recruited for this study. Total 42 participants (21=Group A: Thoracic core conditioning exercises with stretch pole, 21= Group-B: Thoracic mobility exercises) were recruited in the study.

The result of the present study supports alternative hypothesis which states that there is significant difference in PEFR (Z=-2.498, p=0.012), Chest expansion at Axilla level (Z=-3.523, p=0.000) and Xiphoid level (Z=-2.311, p=0.021) which is more in Group A than Group B but there is no significant difference in any domain of WHOQOL-BREF scale Physical (Z=-1.619,p=0.105), domain Psychological domain (Z=-1.739, p=0.082), Social domain (Z=-0.600, p=0.548) and Environmental domain (Z=-1.546, p=0.122) between both groups.

Previous research has indicated that respiratory muscle stretching has a comparable impact on chest wall compliance, leading to a reduction in chest wall stiffness. Studies have shown that when thoracic muscles are stretched to their full range, the respiratory system is able to operate at its maximum capacity.²⁰⁻²² Stretching activates muscle spindles and, through the alpha-gamma linkage mechanism, increases their sensitivity during muscle contraction. As a result, stretching a contracted muscle serves as a strong stimulus for muscle spindles, enhancing the force generated by the muscles during respiration and improving the Peak Expiratory Flow Rate (PEFR). (Matthews P 1964) ²³ Edmondston and Waller (2011) observed that when individuals assume a hunched posture while standing, there is a decrease in thoracic spine extension. This indicates a strong correlation between thoracic expansion and thoracic spine extension.²⁴ Shigeki Yokoyama et al. (2012) presented a fundamental exercise program featuring ten exercises, which include preliminary movements for thoracic core conditioning exercises utilizing a stretch pole. These exercises are thought to promote thoracic expansion by facilitating relaxation respiratory muscles. Core conditioning exercises are designed to stretch the thoracic muscles, helping to reduce muscle tension and promote relaxation in the muscles involved in breathing.¹⁸ Kritica Boruah et al. (2014) also supported the idea in their study that lying supine with the spine on the stretch pole can



reduce hypernutation of the sacrum. When integrated with core conditioning exercises, this position can reposition the spine and respiratory muscles, especially the thorax, facilitating easier thoracic extension while lying supine on the stretch pole. This enhanced thoracic mobility aligns with the outcomes of the current study, wherein elderly participants in the study group engaged in exercises involving deep breathing on the stretch pole. This proper breathing pattern likely contributed to improvements by alleviating tightness, reducing chest wall resistance, and lowering the work of breathing, which ultimately enhanced thoracic mobility and pulmonary function.¹² Hetal and Ashok (2020)concluded study showed significant that their improvements in maximum breathing capacity, Peak Expiratory Flow Rate (PEFR), exercise capacity, Rate of Perceived Exertion (RPE), and posture after respiratory muscle stretch gymnastics training in the elderly population.²⁵ Rekha K et al.(2020) supported the present study and concluded that stretch pole exercises have a significant effect, Both clinically and statistically, there were improvements observed in Forced Vital Capacity (FVC), Forced Expiratory Volume in one second (FEV1), and their ratio among smart phone users.²⁶ In their study, Roger Engle et al.(2019) emphasized the importance of enhancing chest wall compliance to promote increased activity levels in older individuals. They suggested that improvements in chest expansion and respiratory function contribute to enhancing the quality of life in this population.²⁷

The comparison of quality of life between Group A and Group B revealed no significant differences across any domain. Therefore, it can be concluded that both exercise protocols are equally effective in improving quality of life. However, further research is needed to explore the effects of thoracic core conditioning exercises with stretch poles and to identify which population would benefit most from this type of program.

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, this study shows both thoracic core conditioning exercises with stretch pole and thoracic mobility exercises are effective in improving PEFR, chest expansion at axilla and xiphoid level and quality of life. Both groups are equally effective in improve quality of life whereas the participants who received thoracic core conditioning exercises with stretch pole shows better result in terms of PEFR and chest expansion.

FUTURE RECOMMENDATIONS

It is recommended to explore the effects of thoracic core conditioning exercises with a stretch pole on other parameters of pulmonary function. Additionally, further randomized controlled trials are needed to assess the long-term effects of these exercises in various pulmonary conditions.

REFERENCES

- 1. A.Kalache, B.Lunefeld, Men, ageing and health-achieving health across the life span, World health organisations Geneva, 2001, 9-10. https://iris.who.int/handle/10665/66941
- 2. Multani N, Verma S. Introduction to geriatric physiotherapy, principle of geriatric physiotherapy. 1st ed, Jaypee brothers; 2007, https://www.jaypeedigital.com/book/9788 184481051
- 3. J.Couser. R. Guthmann, M.Hamadeh, C.Kane, Pulmonary rehabilitation improves exercise capacity in olderelderly patientwith COPD, Chestjournal.1995,107(3),730-734.
 - https://doi.org/10.1378/chest.107.3. 730
- 4. Marek W, Marek EM, Mückenhoff K, Smith HJ, Kotschy-Lang N, Kohlhäufl M. Lung function in our aging population, European Journal of Medical Research, 2011, 16(3), 108-14.

https://doi.org/10.1186/2047-783x-



16-3-108

- 5. Roman MA, Rossiter HB, Casaburi R. Exercise, ageing and the lung, European Respiratory Journal, 2016,48(5),1471-86. https://doi.org/10.1183/13993003.00347-2016
- 6. LutfiMF. The physiologicalbasis and clinicalsignificanceof lung volumemeasurement, Multidisciplinary Respiratory Medicine, 2017, 12 (3), 1-12. https://doi.org/10.1186/s40248-017-0084-5
- 7. Lee SH, Yim SJ, Kim HC, S.yim. Aging of the respiratory system. Kosin Medical Journal, 2016, 31(1), 11-8. https://doi.org/10.7180/kmj.2016.31.1.11
- 8. Mahler DA, Rosiello RA, Loke J. The aging lung. Clinics in Geriatric Medicine, 1986, 2(2), 215-25. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0749-0690(18)30878-4
- Janssens JP, Pache JC, Nicod LP. Physiological changes in respiratory function associated with ageing, EuropeanRespiratoryJournal,1999,13(1),1 97-205.https://doi.org/10.1034/j.1399-3003.1999.13a36.x
- 10.Caro CG, Butler J, Dubois AB. Some effects of restriction of chest cage expansion on pulmonary function in man: an experimental study, Journal of Clinical Investigation, 1960, 39(4), 573-83. https://doi.org/10.1172/jci104070
- 11.V.Granacher, A.Lacroix, T.Muehlbauer, K.Roettger, A.Golhofer, Effects of core instability strength training on trunk muscle strength, spinal mobility, dynamic balance and functional mobility in older adults, Gerontology, 2012, 1-9 https://doi.org/10.1159/000343152
- 12.Boruah K, V.babu, N.sai, V.ayyappan. Effectiveness of thoracic core conditioning using stretch pole for community elderly with moderate COPD-A single blind study, International Journal of Physiotherapy Research, 2014, 2(1), 394-402. http://dx.doi.org/10.16965/ijpr

- 13. Vikram M, Leonard JH, Kamaria K. Chest wall stretching exercise as an adjunct modality in post operative pulmonary management, Journal of Surgical Academia. 2012, 2(1), 39-41 https://api.semanticscholar.org/CorpusID:73954694
- 14.Park SJ, Lee JH, Min KO. Comparison of the effects of core stabilization and chest mobilization exercises on lung function and chest wall expansion in stroke patients, Journal of Physical Therapy Science, 2017, 29(7), https://doi.org/10.1589/jpts.29.1144
- 15.CrapoR.Theaging lung,LungBiologyinHealthandDise ase,1993,63,1-25.
 https://api.semanticscholar.org/CorpusID:77968306
- 16.Pauwels RA, Rabe KF. Burden and clinical features of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), Lancet, 2004, 364(9434), 613-20. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0140-6736(04)16855-4
- 17. Mishra A, Ganvir S. Peak expiratory flow rate measure among community dwelling elderly rural population, Nursing and Health S ciences, 2019, 8(2), 25-30. https://api.semanticscholar.org/CorpusID:215775334
- 18.S.Yokoyama, K.Gamada, S.Sugino, R.Sasano, The effects of the core conditioning exercises using stretch pole on thoracic expansion differences in healthy middle-aged & elderly persons, Journal of bodywork and movement therapies, 2012, 16, 326-329 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbmt.2011.10.00
- 19. World Health Organization ,WHOQOL-BREF. introduction, administration, scoring and generic version of theassessment.field trialversion.Programon mental health.WHO,Geneva.1996



https://iris.who.int/handle/10665/63529

- 20.GreggI,NunnAJ.Peakexpiratoryflowinnor malsubjects,BritishMedicalJournal,1973,3 (5874), 282-4. https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.3.5874.282
- 21. Srinivas P, Chia YC, Poi PJ, et al. Peak expiratory flow rate in elderly Malaysians, Medical Journal of Malaysia, 1999, 54(4), 531-4. https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/1097199
- 22. Tilvis R, Valvanne J, Sairanen S, Sovijärvi A. Peak expiratory flow is a prognostic indicator in elderlypeople.
- 25.Hetal M, Ashok BP. Respiratory muscle stretch gymnastic in elderly:impact on maximum breathing capacity, peak expiratory flow rate and exercise capacity. International Journal of health Sciences and Research, 2020, 10(3), 145-58https://www.ijhsr.org/IJHSR_Vol.10_Issue.3_March2020/IJHSR_Abstract.022.html
- 26.Rekha K, Rubi K, Roshini N. Effects of stretch pole exercises on pulmonary function amongsmartphone users, Indian Journal of Public Health Research & Development, 2020, 11(7), 662-6 https://doi.org/10.37506/ijphrd.v11i7.1016

https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/P MC2126020/

- 23.Matthews P. B. Muscle spindles and their motor control, Physiological reviews, 1964, 44, 219–288. https://doi.org/10.1152/physrev.1964.44.2.219
- 24.Edmondston SJ, Waller R, Vallin P, Holthe A, Noebauer A, King E. Thoracic spine extension mobility in young adults: influence of subject position and spinal curvature, The Journal of Orthopaedic & Sports Physical Therapy, 2011, 41(4), 266-73. https://doi.org/10.2519/jospt.2011.3456
- 27.Engel R, Grace S, Broadbent S. The effect of manual therapy and exercise on age-related lung function:studyprotocolfor a randomised controlled trial,Trials,2019, 20(1),163-170. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13063-019-3257-z
- 28.J.Ekstrum, L.Black, K.Paschal, Effects of a thoracic mobility and respiratory exercise program on pulmonary function and functional capacity in older adult, Physical and occupational therapy in Geriatrics, 2009, 27(4), 310-27.https://doi.org/10.1080/027031809028 03895